

# Racialized Formations of Learning In and Across Context


The Family Leadership Design Collaborative

Written by Ezekiel Dixon-Román



It has become increasingly understood that education is a social process that is ubiquitous to being human<sup>1-4</sup>. Learning goes beyond the place of schooling and occurs through the practices in and across contexts. Further learning is formed and shaped by racializations - that is, structural relations of “difference” - producing inequities in pedagogical conditions and inequalities in educational opportunities. Alex Weheliye<sup>5</sup> defines racialization as the sociopolitical process of differentiating and hierarchizing bodies, designating their humanity and possibilities. In order to enable educational justice for everyone social policy has to address the sociopolitical system of hierarchizing and differentiating relations. This brief will provide a way of understanding what racializations are, discuss some of the important research literature on the impact of racializations in education, and highlight the potential of comprehensive education policy.

## BLACK STUDENT SUSPENSION RATES IN THE AMERICAN SOUTH



### KEY CONCEPTS

Race is a social construct that permeates the actions and interactions of everyday life.

Racialization is a sociopolitical process of differentiation and hierarchization that produces the structural relations of race, gender, class, sexuality, and disability among others

Education is a social process of being human.

Black students are disproportionately suspended, expelled, and penalized in the United States. This racialization of students permeates structural, systemic, and ideological facets of daily interaction and impacts physical and mental wellbeing and possibility.

[Infographic produced by the University of Pennsylvania, Center for the Study of Race and Equity in Education<sup>6</sup>, based on a report by Smith & Harper<sup>7</sup>.](#)



### RACE & RACIALIZATION

Race is byproduct invention of a social system, process, and ideology of racism<sup>8-15</sup>. This is an ideology that permeates the actions and interactions of everyday life. Zeus Leonardo<sup>10</sup> argues there is no outside of race. Even in the purported “blindness” and seeming absence of racism in language or daily life, its markings rear up in mysterious and often misrecognized ways. In fact, for Weheliye<sup>5</sup>, racialization is not to be reduced to race or racism; instead, as a sociopolitical process of differentiation and hierarchization that produces the structural relations of race, gender, class, sexuality, and dis/ability among other structural relations of “difference.”

Racializations are not just in language, discourse, and ideology but also shape the neurobiological structure of the body. To not account for the importance of how racializations become part of bodily structures overlooks and misses the vibrant and consequential materiality of differentiating power relations<sup>16</sup>. Michael Hames-García states, “The biological body is not inert matter in the face of racial ideologies”<sup>17, p. 326</sup>.

Racialized events and situations do interact with the body, as empirically demonstrated. For instance, stereotype threat is the immediate situational effect of being exposed or primed by a negative group stereotype and the produced anxiety of self-fulfilling that negative stereotype or being evaluated or judged by it<sup>18</sup>. These processes suppress performance on standardized educational tests and exemplify how racializations impact educational opportunities through bodily registers<sup>18</sup>. Additionally, social epidemiology research indicates that racism and perceived discrimination become embodied and materialized in racial health disparities<sup>19-21</sup>. Included in these racial health disparities are learning disabilities, developmental delays, mental health, exposure to lead, and the onset of asthma, each empirically found to impact human learning and development. Power relations do not just exist in sociopolitical relations but are very much part of the corporeal matter of the body, forming and shaping how we preconsciously act and interact in the world as well as our material well-being.

### RACIALIZATION PROCESSES & IMPACTS

#### Racialization in Schools

The forces of racialization also occur in schooling practices such as discipline and interactions with

school teachers and administration. A recent report found that in the K-12 public school districts in 13 Southern states black students were disproportionately suspended or expelled at higher rates<sup>7</sup>. They also found that in 84 school districts in the South, black students made up 100% of those students suspended. These are unconscionable rates that reflect the school administrations’ and teachers’ disposition toward black students<sup>7</sup>. Providing several different examples, Gloria Ladson-Billings<sup>22</sup> has discussed how Black male behaviors in the classroom are feared and not tolerated whereas their White or Asian American counterparts’ behaviors are much more tolerated. Others have also found that school administration are differently responsive to black parents engagement with the school, regardless of class<sup>23</sup>. Additionally, parental meetings or conferences with school teachers have been found to, on average, have a negative effect on achievement growth for black males<sup>24</sup>.

#### Racialization in Structural Spaces & Resources

Racializations also include the structural arrangement of space and resources in space. As an example, in addition to Black families being disproportionately overrepresented in neighborhoods of urban poverty, the impact of urban neighborhood poverty on contextual mobility has been more deleterious for Black families than for white families. For Black adults, 72% of those who reside in poor urban neighborhoods were raised by parents who resided in poor urban neighborhoods<sup>25</sup>. This was only 40% for their white adult counterparts.

Contextual mobility matters for education. For example, being raised in a high-poverty neighborhood in one generation has a substantial negative effect on child cognitive ability in the next generation<sup>11</sup>. Additionally, research indicates that school desegregation trends halted in the early 1970s maintaining racial and class segregated schools<sup>27-28</sup>. In fact, reterritorialized boundaries of recent redistricting have not just been on racial lines but also have produced curricular differences as a result of resegregating processes and policies<sup>28</sup>.

Thus, hierarchizing and differentiating sociopolitical forces permeate every fabric of social life impacting the well-being, learning and development in and across space and time.



### CONSIDERATIONS FOR SOCIAL POLICY & PRACTICE

To address the concerns of racialized formations of learning in and across context, policymakers need to consider social policies that take on a public health approach to education. Education, like racialization, is a social process that is ubiquitous in the everyday acts and interactions of being human. Policy approaches, then, are needed that go beyond schooling and directly challenge and mitigate the hierarchizing and differentiating sociopolitical relations in society. This would necessarily include a more comprehensive approach to education that includes spaces and practices such as libraries, museums, childcare centers, health education and clinics, afterschool programs, parenting practice workshops, prenatal services, mental health services, group counseling, among many others<sup>1</sup>. These social policies not only need to address inequities in structural arrangement and ecological conditions but also do the social and cultural work of radically shifting ideologies of difference. This would have to include community and social interventions with teachers, school administration, and community practitioners (e.g., social workers and public health practitioners) as well as regular institutional audits on sensitivity toward difference. Ultimately, in order to enable greater educational equity and justice, empathetic social policies of comprehensive education will have to account for the racializing formations of learning in and across context.



A #BlackLivesMatter protest in Las Vegas. (Photo: [snakegirlproductions/Flickr](#)). Retrieved from [Pacific Standard](#)<sup>29</sup>.

### AREAS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH & PRACTICE

Future research in this area will need to focus less on identity and positionality and more on processes such as the situational measures of racializing relations. New materialist and affect theories will need to be taken up more directly to better examine and theoretically understand the biosocial processes of marginalization. This will need to include both measures of biomarkers and sociotechnical forces in order to account for the increasing ways in which the ubiquity of data and algorithmic thought are shaping the body and everyday life<sup>30</sup>.

---

### FOR MORE INFORMATION

#### FOR MORE RESOURCES:

- [Center for the Study of Race in Education](#)
- [Black Lives Matter: The Schott Foundation 50 State Report on Public Education and Black Males](#)
- [Oxford Academic: Youtube Series on Racialization with Dr. Carlos Hoyt](#)

#### ALSO SEE FLDC RESEARCH BRIEFS:

- [Centering Ancestral Knowledges: Leadership in Learning Environments](#)

#### CONNECT WITH US

- [Join our Listserv](#)
- Email- [uwfldc@gmail.com](mailto:uwfldc@gmail.com)
- Website: <http://familydesigncollab.org>



### REFERENCES

1. Dixon-Román E, Gordon EW. (Eds.) *Thinking comprehensively about education: Spaces of educative possibility and their implications for public policy*. New York (NY): Routledge; 2012.
2. Varenne H. *Difficult collective deliberations: Anthropological notes toward a theory of education*. *Teach College Rec*. 2007;109(7):1559–1588.
3. Lave J, Wenger E. *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 1991.
4. Rogoff B. *The cultural nature of human development*. New York (NY): Oxford University Press; 2003.
5. Weheliye A. *Habeas viscus: Racializing assemblages, biopolitics, and Black feminist theories of the human*. Durham (NC): Duke University Press; 2014.
6. UPenn. *Disproportionately disciplined: Black student suspension rates in the American South*. Retrieved from [https://equity.gse.upenn.edu/sites/default/files/GSE\\_HarprSspnsnInfo\\_R5.pdf](https://equity.gse.upenn.edu/sites/default/files/GSE_HarprSspnsnInfo_R5.pdf)
7. Smith EJ, Harper SR. *Disproportionate impact of K-12 school suspension and expulsion on Black students in southern states*. University of Pennsylvania, Center for the Study of Race and Equity in Education; 2015.
8. Bonilla-Silva E. *Racism without racists: Color-blind racism and racial inequality in contemporary America* (3rd ed.). Lanham (MD): Rowman & Littlefield; 1997.
9. Jackson JL. *Racial paranoia: The unintended consequences of political correctness*. New York (NY): Basic Books; 2008.
10. Leonardo Z. *Race frameworks: A multidimensional theory of racism and education*. New York (NY): Teachers College Press; 2013.
11. Mills C. *The racial contract*. Ithaca (NY): Cornell University Press; 1997.
12. Nasir NS. *Racialized identities: Race and achievement among African American youth*. Palo Alto (CA): Stanford University Press; 2011.
13. Omi M, Winant H. *Racial formation in the United States*. Berkeley (CA): University of California Press; 1994/2014.
14. Roberts D. *Fatal invention: How science, politics, and big business re-create race in the twenty-first century*. New York (NY): The New Press; 2011.
15. Zuberi T. *Thicker than blood: How racial statistics lie*. Minneapolis (MN): University of Minnesota Press; 2001.
16. Dixon-Román EJ. *Inheriting possibility: Social reproduction and quantification in education*. University of Minnesota Press; 2017.
17. Hames-García, M. (2008). "How real is race?" In Alaimo S, Hekman, S. (eds) *Material feminisms*. Bloomington (IN): Indiana University Press; 2008.
18. Steele M, Aaronson J. *Stereotype threat and the intellectual test performance of African Americans*. *J Pers Soc Psyc*. 1995;69(5):797–811.
19. Gravlee CC. *How race becomes biology: Embodiment of social inequality*. *Amer J Phys Anth*. 2009;139(1):47-57.
20. Krieger N. *Embodiment: A conceptual glossary for epidemiology*. *J Epid Com Health*. 2005;59:350-355.
21. Williams D, Mohammed SA. *Discrimination and racial disparities in health: Evidence and needed research*. *J Behav Med*. 2009;32:20–47.
22. Ladson-Billings G. *Boyz to men?: Teaching to restore Black boys' childhood*. *Race Ethnicity Ed*. 2011;14(1):7-15.
23. Lareau A, Horvat EM. *Moments of social inclusion and exclusion: Race, class, and cultural capital in family-school relationships*. *Soc Ed*. 1999;72(1):37-53.
24. Dixon-Román E. *The forms of capital and the developed achievement of Black males*. *Urban Ed*. 2013;48(6):828-862.
25. Sharkey P. *Stuck in place: Urban neighborhoods and the end of progress toward racial equality*. Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press; 2011.
26. Sharkey P, Elwert F. "The legacy of disadvantage: Multigenerational neighborhood effects on cognitive ability." *Amer J Soc*. 2011;116(6):1934-1981.
27. Reardon SF, Yun JT. *Integrating neighborhoods, segregating schools: The retreat from school desegregation in the South, 1990-2000*. *North Carolina Law Review*. 2003;81(4):1563-1596.
28. Rosiek J, Kinslow K. *Resegregation as curriculum: The meaning of the new racial segregation in the US public schools*. Routledge; 2016.
29. Wingfield AH. *Gendering #blacklivesmatter: A feminist perspective*. Dec 18, 2014. Retrieved from <https://psmag.com/social-justice/gendering-blacklivesmatter-feminist-perspective-96710>.
30. de Freitas E, Dixon-Román E, Lather P. *Alternative ontologies of number: Rethinking the quantitative in computational culture*. *Cultural Stud-Crit Meth*. 2016;16(5):431-434.



## RACIALIZED FORMS OF LEARNING

### THE FAMILY LEADERSHIP DESIGN COLLABORATIVE

The Family Leadership Design Collaborative (FLDC) is a national network of over 40 scholars, practitioners, and family and community leaders who seek to center racial equity in family engagement by catalyzing an expansive national research agenda and developing “next” (beyond current “best”) practices, measures and tools. We envision family and community wellbeing and educational justice as core aims in this work that begins from non-dominant family and community ecologies, creates ongoing transformative possibilities, and builds solidarities towards collective action for racial equity, from early childhood to secondary education.

We mobilize inter-disciplinary and experiential forms of expertise and see families and communities – particularly those marginalized by race, class, language, or immigrant status – as learning experts, co-designers, collaborators, and fellow leaders in the work. For more information, visit our website at [www.familydesigncollab.org](http://www.familydesigncollab.org) or email us at [uwfldc@gmail.com](mailto:uwfldc@gmail.com)

**PROJECT INVESTIGATORS:** Ann Ishimaru & Megan Bang

**RESEARCH ASSISTANTS:** Jondou Chase Chen, Aditi Rajendran, Charlene Montaña Nolan, Mario Guerra, Henedina Tavares

**LEADERSHIP TEAM:** Filiberto Barajas-López, Joanna Brown, Kris Gutiérrez, Janeen Comenote, Iheoma Iruka Thompson, Mary Johnson, Muhammad Khalifa, Carol Lee, Derrick Lopez, Edward Olivos, Michelle Renée Valladares, John Rogers, Mark Warren

### ABOUT THE AUTHORS:

Ezekiel Dixon-Román is Associate Professor is Associate Professor of Social Policy and Practice at University of Pennsylvania and Chair of Data Analytics for Social Policy Certificate Program.

To Cite:

Dixon-Román E. (Jul., 2018). Racialized Formations of Learning Within and Across Learning Environments. Seattle, WA: Family Leadership Design Collaborative

